



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/834,696	04/12/2001	Jerrold L. King	MICR135.02	4676

7590 12/05/2001
Ormiston & McKinney, PLLC
P.O. Box 298 802
W. Bannock, Suite 400
Boise, ID 83701-0298

EXAMINER

MITCHELL, JAMES M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2822

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/834,696	KING ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James Mitchell	2822

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM

THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 May 2001.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 19-22 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 19-22 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____

Application/Control Number: 09/834,696

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the preliminary amendment filed May 21, 2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 19-20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsushita et. al. (U.S 5,299,729) in view of Shimamoto et. al. (U.S 5,309,021).

4. Matsushita discloses a chip (4) with bond pads thereon accessible from the surface (Item 5, Fig.1a) with leads (51) attached and extending over the surface of said chip, and an encapsulate material (80) covering a portion of said chip and leads with said leads extending out through said encapsulate.

5. Matsushita does not disclose an electrode with a first portion in said encapsulate and that is in contact with said lead. However, Shimamoto utilizes an electrode (13a,b) in contact with a lead that is protruding from an encapsulate (Fig.2).

6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form electrodes on the lead of Matsushita with a portion protruding from said encapsulate in order to reduce inductance as taught by Shimamoto (Abstract, Lines 1-2).

7. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsushita and Shimamoto as applied to claim 19 and in further view of Hundt (EP 0490499A1)

8. Neither Matsushita or Shimamoto show the leads of the package being fully encapsulated, however Hundt utilizes a fully encapsulated lead (Fig. 2).

9. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the leads of the prior art within said encapsulate in order to minimize package space as taught by Hundt (Lines 20-22, Column 2).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lee et. al. (U.S5,894,107), Tsubosaki et. al. (U.S 5,583,375) and Sato et. al. (U.S 5,519,251).

The prior art discloses in Lee and Tsubosaki an encapsulated lead with a first portion of an electrode in contact with lead and said encapsulate while a second portion protrudes from said encapsulate, and in Sato the use of an encapsulated leads.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Mitchell whose telephone number is (703) 305-0244. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-8:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr. can be reached on (703) 308-4940. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Application/Control Number: 09/834,696
Art Unit: 2822

Page 4

305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3230 for After Final
communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or
proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-
0956.

jmm
November 15, 2001

Carl Whitehead
CARL WHITEHEAD, JR.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800